

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office . Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/771,899	02/04/2004	Noal Montena	205P035	3890	
20874 75	590 04/12/2005		EXAMINER		
WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI 101 SOUTH SALINA STREET			DINH, PHUONG K		
SUITE 400	ALINA SI KEEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SYRACUSE, NY 13202			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005	DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/771,899	MONTENA, NOAL	M			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phuong KT Dinh	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 N</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1	` '			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) D Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 04/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/771,899 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szegda (U. S. Patent 4,990,106) in view of Heiningsen (U. S. Patent 6,802,738).
- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 8, Szegda discloses a cable connector comprising: a front body adapted to connect to an equipment post a back body 40 adapted to receive a prepared end of a hardline coaxial cable 16 a coupler nut 34 retained on the back body; a mandrel 30 retained in the back body; means 32, 44 for connecting the cable to the back body and a ridge (on the coupler nut) on an inside of the nut, wherein the coupler nut coupler nut is retained on the back body between the shoulder (near 42) of the body and a shoulder of the mandrel. Szedga discloses the claimed invention except for a front body and a conductive pin retained in the front body by an insulator, the conductive pin including a front end for connecting to the equipment port and a back end, wherein the back end includes a collet for connecting to and retaining a center conductor of the cable. Henningsen discloses a front body at 1 and a conductive pin retained in the front body by an insulator, the conductive pin including a front end for connecting to the equipment port and a back end, wherein the back end includes a

Art`Unit: 2839

collet 3 for connecting to and retaining a center conductor of the cable. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Szegda to provide the front body and a body and a conductive pin retained in the front body by an insulator, the conductive pin including a front end for connecting to the equipment port and a back end, wherein the back end includes a collet for connecting to and retaining a center conductor of the cable as taught by Henningsen to provide a complete coupler.

- 4. Regarding claim 2, Szegda, discloses the means for connecting is a permanent compression fitting retained in the back body.
- 5. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szegda (U. S. Patent 2005/0032422) in view of Heiningsen (U. S. Patent 6,802,738) and further in view of Horak (U. S. Patent 3,879,102).
- 6. Regarding claims 7 and 14, Szegda and Henningsen disclose the claimed invention except for the collet includes a ring, which enhances an interference fit between the collet and the center conductor of the cable. Horak discloses a collet include a ring. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Montena and Henningsen to provide the collet include a ring as taught by Horak so as to provide the pressure on the collet.
- 7. Claims 3, 6, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szegda (U. S. Patent 2005/0032422) in view of Heiningsen (U. S. Patent 6,802,738) and further in view of Fandrey (U. S. Patent 6,511,137).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,899 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

8. Regarding claim 3, 6, 10 and 13, Szegda and Henningsen disclose the claimed invention except for the thrust bearing disposed between the ridge and the shoulder of the mandrel. Fandrey discloses the thrust bearing142 disposed between the ridge and the shoulder of the mandrel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Szegda and Henningsen to provide the thrust bearing disposed between the ridge and the shoulder of the mandrel as taught by Fandrey so as to reduce the friction.

- 9. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szegda (U. S. Patent 2005/0032422) and Heiningsen (U. S. Patent 6,802,738) in view of Fandrey (U. S. Patent 6,511,137) and further in view of Horak.
- 10. Regarding claims 4 and 11, Szegda, Heiningsen and Fandrey disclose the claimed invention except for the collet includes a ring, which enhances an interference fit between the collet and the center conductor of the cable. Horak discloses as discussed above.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 5 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. None of the reference discloses a guide disposed within the front body, wherein a portion of the guide fit over the ring.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,899

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong KT Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2090. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 -5, 5 days a week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on 571-272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong Dinh

April 06, 2005